

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/678,265 10/06/2003		Koue Ohkawa	OHKAWA2	3445
1444	7590 02/06/2006		EXAMINER	
	AND NEIMARK, P.L.L	THEXTON, MATTHEW		
624 NINTH S SUITE 300	TREET, NW	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-5303			1714	

DATE MAILED: 02/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Ap	plication No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10	/678,265	OHKAWA ET AL.				
		Ex	aminer	Art Unit				
		Ma	tthew A. Thexton	1714				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appears	on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence add	ress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Notes on time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come of period for reply is specified above, the maximum is refer to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). munication. tatutory period will app y will, by statute, cause	OF THIS COMMUNION IN THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this com BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on .						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□								
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the	application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	☐ Claim(s) 18/4/3 dilewed: ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,4-6,8,12,14,16 and 18 is/are rejected.							
·	\[\int \text{(s)} \frac{7,2,7}{2,9,11,13} \frac{15}{2} \text{ is/are objected to.} \] Claim(s) \(\frac{3,7,9,11,13}{2} \) and \(\frac{15}{2} \) is/are objected to.							
· <u> </u>	B) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
_	The specification is objected to by th	e Evaminer						
·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are		d or h) Objected to	hy the Fyaminer				
٠٠/	Applicant may not request that any obje							
			- · ·	• •	R 1.121(d).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
	•	for foreign prior	rity under 35 U.S.C. 8	(110(a) (d) or (f)				
_	12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
۵	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies				tage			
	application from the Internation	· •						
* 5	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	t/e)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview 9	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Dotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>one sheet.</u> 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:								
rape	THOSPINAL DATE <u>ONE SHEEL</u> .			·				

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The IDS filed 2003 October 6 has been considered.

Specification

The title is objected to. The title of the invention should be brief but technically accurate and descriptive, preferably from two to seven words, and may not contain more than 500 characters.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because: (1) the word "remainder" is misspelled, and (2) the use of "(pure water)" is misdescriptive because it does not accurately reflect the description and claims. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Appropriate correction is required.

Drawings

The drawings are accepted.

Claims Version

The listing of claims submitted in the original submission has been examined.

Claims Analysis

Claim 1 is directed to lubricant mixtures comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/678,265

Art Unit: 1714

- water; and

- 0.5 – 30 weight % of a mix of:

- sucrose; and

- 0.2 – 20 weight % based on the sucrose of a disaccharide

caramel.

Claims 2-16 depend from claim 1 directly or indirectly and further specify

or limit the: type of sucrose; presence and amount of sodium and/or potassium

laurate; presence and amount of potassium sorbate; presence and amount of

benzotriazole or its alkali metal salt.

The term disaccharide caramel is understood to mean burnt sugar as

described in the prior art and is distinguished from candy caramel (sugar, butter,

cream or milk, and flavoring) and from caramels formed by Maillard reaction

(sugar and amino acids). Thus excluded are brown sugar and maple sugar and

maple syrup. Disaccharide caramel is the colored product resulting from the

heating of disaccharide (e.g., sucrose or common table sugar) and is available as

syrup or dried and is commonly used as coloring in baking and beverages such

as cola drinks.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Page 3

Art Unit: 1714

Claims 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 2 recites "wherein the sucrose is granulated sugar or crystal sugar."

This is unclear since the claim from which this claim depends requires the sugar sucrose be in solution and thus cannot be granulated or crystal.

35 USC § 102 and 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/678,265

Art Unit: 1714

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim Rejections

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Swaine et al. (US 3632354).

The present claims are broadly discussed hereinabove in the section Claims Analysis which is incorporated by reference.

See claim 3 and column 1, lines 67-70. Once the sugar is dissolved, the limitation of Applicant's claim 2 is without probative value. Further, crystals are suggested at column 2, lines 65-75.

The discovery of new use or property of an otherwise old material generally does not lend patentability to said material.

Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Swaine et al. (US 3632354) as applied to claims 1 and 2 above, and further in view of official notice.

The present claims are broadly discussed hereinabove in the section Claims Analysis which is incorporated by reference. Application/Control Number: 10/678,265

Art Unit: 1714

Potassium sorbate is well known and used additive for foods as a preservative and thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have employed it as such in the compositions of '354.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Zirlin (US 3832475).

The present claims are broadly discussed hereinabove in the section Claims Analysis which is incorporated by reference.

See example 1. Once the sugar is dissolved, the limitation of Applicant's claim 2 is without probative value.

The discovery of new use or property of an otherwise old material generally does not lend patentability to said material.

Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zirlin (US 3832475) as applied to claims 1 and 2 above, and further in view of official notice.

The present claims are broadly discussed hereinabove in the section Claims Analysis which is incorporated by reference.

Potassium sorbate is well known and used additive for foods as a preservative and thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have employed it as such in the compositions of '475.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3, 7, 9, 11, 13, and 15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 4, 8, 10, 12, 14, and 16 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew A. Thexton whose telephone number is 571-272-1125. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday, 9:30 to 7.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasudevan S. Jagannathan can be reached on 571-272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Matthew A. Thexton Primary Examiner

M. A. Thexton

Art Unit 1714

matthew.thexton@uspto.gov